

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY IN MANAGEMENT

EXPLORING EMERGING MARKET HETEROGENEITY

By

MAULI SONI



भारतीय प्रबंध संस्थान बेंगलूर  
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT  
BANGALORE

2022

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY IN MANAGEMENT**

**EXPLORING EMERGING MARKET HETEROGENEITY**

**By**

**Mauli Soni**

A Dissertation submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the  
degree of Doctor of Philosophy at

**INDIAN INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT BANGALORE**

**2022**

**Prof. Ananth Krishnamurthy**  
Chairperson  
Doctoral Programme

**Prof. Ashis Mishra**  
Chairperson  
Dissertation Advisory Committee

### **Members of the Dissertation Advisory Committee**

- |                                   |                |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|
| <b>1. Prof. Ashis Mishra</b>      | Chairperson    |
| <b>2. Prof. Avinash Mulky</b>     | Co-Chairperson |
| <b>3. Prof. Ganesh Prabhu</b>     | Member         |
| <b>4. Prof. Ramya Neelamegham</b> | Member         |

Copyright © 2022 by Mauli Soni  
All rights reserved.

*To Akhil, my strength and hope.*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

---

Nothing in the world can be accomplished without the support of a million hands that shape the journey to the destination. In that stead, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to Prof. Avinash Mulky for his invaluable patience and feedback. He gave me the freedom to choose how to execute my research ideas while enriching them throughout the entire time with instrumental suggestions and structure. Without his relentless emotional support, this work would have been impossible. A special thanks to Prof. Ashis Mishra for making the transition during DAC reconstitution a breeze. What could have easily been a turbulent phase was made effortless and extremely productive by Prof. Mishra. This support continued till even after I presented my defense, for which I will always be grateful. My sincere thanks to Prof. Ganesh Prabhu for his critical comments and guidance that improved my essays on both theoretical and contributory fronts. I am also obliged to Prof. Ramya Neelamegham for her crucial insights. The discussions with ma'am were a learning opportunity that are rare to come by. I am eternally indebted to my entire advisory committee for their unwavering support and expertise.

I must take this opportunity to thank all the peers whose phenomenal work, integrity and thoughtfulness inspire me. Dr. Sourav Borah, a mentor and a friend from whom I still learn something new every day. Dr. Tanvi Gupta, a friend and a fellow mom who has helped me see through the chaos multiple times. Dr. Amalesh Sharma, whose firm but guided feedback every time I asked for one made me a better researcher.

In addition, I would like to thank the very responsive and incredibly helpful IIMB library staff. My sincere regards to IT helpdesk who made the remote accessibility a very smooth

process and kept me productive even in the lockdown. I also want to appreciate the wonderful PhD office staff without whose constant assistance this mammoth quest would have been impossible to scale.

My PhD journey would not have been successful without the support of my family. Akhil, who is my pillar of strength and patience. His pragmatism keeps my balance in check. He has been an equal partner in every sense of the word. My in-laws (Manjula and Jagadishwar R.), who have always respected my choices and have been nothing but affectionate and supportive. My parents (Shyamoli and Omprakash Soni), who gave me the freedom to make my decisions and the opportunity to learn from them. It is their confidence in my abilities that has made this journey possible. My siblings (Kriti and Bhavin), who are my constant source of laughter and encouragement. They are a welcome escape who have the proficiency to revitalize the exhausted me on every occasion I came close to giving up. And Rumie, my escape, my lifeline, and my pride. My constant companion in the lockdown who reminded (kicked) me consistently to not slack.

I would also like to highlight the contributions of the new family that this journey gave me. Manu, Prabhu and Abhishek, the three amazing friends who uplifted me every time I was filled with self-doubts and apprehensions. Keeping me sane is a difficult job that these three managed brilliantly for which I will always be grateful.

My deepest gratitude to all.

# CONTENTS

---

<b>Abstract</b> .....	<b>ix</b>
<b>Introduction</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1 Emerging Markets – A Review .....	<b>3</b>
1.1.1 Emerging vs. Developed Markets .....	4
1.1.2 Emerging Market Standalone Effect .....	6
1.1.3 Emerging Market Firms.....	9
1.2 Gap Analysis.....	<b>10</b>
<b>Luxury Consumption &amp; EM Heterogeneity</b> .....	<b>14</b>
2.1 Literature Review .....	<b>17</b>
2.1.1 Luxury Consumption .....	17
2.1.2 Emerging Markets .....	20
2.2 Theoretical Framework.....	<b>20</b>
2.2.1 Motivation- Ability- Opportunity.....	21
2.3 Hypotheses Development .....	<b>23</b>
2.3.1 Main Effects.....	23
2.3.2 Moderation Effects.....	28
2.4 Methodology .....	<b>34</b>
2.4.1 Data.....	34
2.4.2 Measures & Operationalization .....	35
2.4.3 Model Specification.....	38
2.5 Results .....	<b>41</b>
2.6 Discussion.....	<b>46</b>
2.7 Contributions .....	<b>46</b>
2.7.1 Contributions to Theory .....	46
2.7.2 Contributions to Practice .....	49
2.8 Limitations and Future Research Directions .....	<b>51</b>



<b>Emerging Market Heterogeneity and Firm Capabilities .....</b>	<b>52</b>
3.1 Literature Review .....	<b>54</b>
3.1.1 Capabilities and Theory .....	54
3.1.2 Emerging Markets .....	57
3.1.3 Contingent View .....	58
3.2 Hypothesis Development .....	<b>59</b>
3.2.1 Capabilities and Market Type.....	59
3.2.2 Heterogeneity in Emerging Markets .....	62
3.3 Methodology .....	<b>67</b>
3.3.1 Data.....	67
3.3.2 Measures & Operationalisation .....	68
3.3.3 Model Specification.....	73
3.4 Results.....	<b>75</b>
3.5 Discussion.....	<b>81</b>
3.6 Contribution .....	<b>82</b>
3.6.1 Contributions to Theory .....	82
3.6.2 Contributions to Practice .....	84
3.7 Limitations and Future Research Directions.....	<b>86</b>
 <b>Conclusion .....</b>	 <b>87</b>
 <b>BIBLIOGRAPHY .....</b>	 <b>90</b>
References .....	<b>90</b>
Web References .....	<b>103</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

---

1.1	Conceptual Overview of Thesis Studies .....	12
2.1	Expected Effect of Structural Characteristics on MAO elements .....	27
2.2	Correlation Table .....	42
2.3	Estimates of Hypothesized Effects .....	43
2.4	List of Hypotheses Supported .....	44
3.1	Summary of Hypothesized Effect of Market Characteristics in EMs .....	66
3.2	Correlation Table .....	78
3.3	Estimates of Hypothesized Effects .....	79
3.4	Moderation Effects of Market Characteristics .....	80
3.5	List of Hypotheses Supported .....	81

## LIST OF FIGURES

---

1.1	Select Market Characteristics of a Few Emerging Markets .....	11
1.2	Conceptual Overview of Thesis Structure .....	12
2.1	FDI Inflows (Current USD) in Emerging Markets .....	14
2.2	Luxury Sales Growth (Year-on-Year) .....	16
2.3	Conceptual Model of the Study .....	34
3.1	Conceptual Model of the Study .....	67

# Abstract

Emerging markets have garnered a lot of attention both in the business arena and in academic literature. The reasons for the interest in emerging markets range from expanding the firms' consumer base, diversifying supplier networks, and taking advantage of emerging markets' cost-benefits. In the last decade, many research scholars have made significant contributions to understanding emerging markets better. A common feature in the emerging market literature is the treatment of emerging markets as homogeneous entities. However, some studies (Johnson and Tellis 2008; Heinberg, Ozkaya and Taube 2018) indicate that this assumption of homogeneity of emerging markets might not be valid.

This thesis extends research on emerging markets and demonstrates that there is indeed heterogeneity between emerging market countries. Through two empirical chapters, the thesis identifies and establishes the features that give rise to the diversity in emerging markets. The thesis also examines the role that country characteristics (market heterogeneity, unbranded competition, socio-political governance, and resources and infrastructure) play on firm performance in emerging markets.

Essay 1 (Chapter 2) establishes the nature of heterogeneity that exists between emerging markets. The essay examines emerging markets in the backdrop of luxury brands, contingency theory, and motivation-ability-opportunity framework. It borrows market characteristics from Sheth (2011) that differentiate emerging from developed countries. Prior research demonstrated in the luxury industry that there are differences in firm performances between developed and emerging markets (Shukla and Purani 2012;

Shukla 2012). Hence, it is reasonable to test if the theoretical boundaries set by marketplace characteristics lead to consumption differences in what is supposed to be a single type of market. Research also shows that culture does not highlight country-specific differences in luxury consumption between emerging markets (Shukla 2012; Hennigs et al., 2012; Shukla, Singh and Banerjee 2015). This makes it imperative for market-place characteristics to be brought into forefront to test for market heterogeneity.

Empirical analysis of 119 luxury brands operating in 19 emerging markets demonstrates the differential and heterogeneous effect that market heterogeneity (positive), unbranded competition (negative), socio-political governance (negative), and resources and infrastructure (positive) have on luxury brand performance. Furthermore, two moderators – financial freedom (policy-based) and marketing efforts (firm-based) – are utilized to explore how firms can navigate the heterogeneity imposed by market diversity. The study makes contributions at multiple levels. It provides the ability for researchers and practitioners to differentiate between two emerging markets through their structural characteristics. The study also identifies market characteristics as a critical set of antecedents to luxury brand purchase—the asymmetric effects of the two contingency variables guide practitioners.

Essay 2 (Chapter 3) examines how firms can mitigate the challenges created by the heterogeneity imposed by structural characteristics of an emerging market. It also steps away from using a specific category of products to generalize findings across industries. Firms function through their capabilities. Their capabilities fundamentally explain their performance variations (Vorhies and Morgan 2005; Narasimhan, Srinivasan and Sudhir 2006). Firms are expected to match their capabilities to a marketplace's changing dynamics for superior performance (Morgan, Vorhies, and Mason 2009). The hypotheses are built on resource-based theory (Wernerfelt 1984), dynamic capabilities (Teece, Pisano and Shuen 1997), and contingency theory. Moderating effects of market characteristics

on capability performance link are also tested. The empirical study is based on 105 multinational firms and 34 countries (17 emerging and 17 developed).

I find that capabilities have different effects on firm performance in developed vis-à-vis emerging markets. The impact of capabilities on firm performance is also moderated by market characteristics in emerging markets. The results indicate that the effects of marketing, R&D, and operational capabilities are higher in emerging markets than in developed markets. Also, different market characteristics affect each core capability's contribution to firm performance in a distinct manner. This makes it imperative for firms to conduct a specific strategic analysis before entering a market. For example, socio-political governance negatively influences the effects of marketing capability on performance but positively impacts the effects of R&D capability on performance. The essay contributes to the theory of dynamic capabilities and resource-based view by providing an extension (and differential effects) of the capability performance link in emerging markets. It also introduces a new set of moderators – market characteristics – to these theories and indicates how emerging market heterogeneity affects capability utilization. By integrating capabilities with marketplace characteristics in emerging markets, the study also provides insights into how capabilities can lead a firm to make informed decisions for market entry and navigation.

Both essays work towards establishing emerging market heterogeneity and the effects of heterogeneity on firm performance. The decision-making process related to the internationalization of firms can benefit if they do not treat emerging markets as homogeneous.